Roll No.

Final New Syllabus

JUL 2021

Total No. of Questions - 5

Multidisciplinary Case Study

Total No. of Printed Pages – 31

Time Allowed – 4 Hours

Maximum Marks - 100

# CGT

Answer to questions are to be given only in English except in the case of candidates who have opted for Hindi Medium. If a candidate who has not opted for Hindi Medium, his/her answers in Hindi will not be valued.

The Question Paper comprises five case study questions. The candidates are required to answer any four case study questions out of five.

Answers in respect of Multiple Choice Questions are to be marked on the OMR answer sheet only.

Answer to other questions to be written on the descriptive type answer book.

Answer to MCQs, if written in the descriptive type answer book will not be evaluated.

Please ensure to answer the MCQs relevant to questions as attempted in the descriptive answer book.

Candidates may use calculator.

Marks

## CASE STUDY - 1

## About You

You are a knowledgeable Chartered Accountant and appointed as a partner in an established firm of Chartered Accountants based out of Mumbai. You are very much excited on your becoming a partner and cannot afford to wait to sign your first set of audited accounts.

CGT

Modificialinary Case Study

### A New Client

Your audit firm added a new client, "Sunshine Cot Spin Ltd" (SCSL), a family owned, closely held, Public Limited Company, located at Pune to act as the Statutory Auditors of the Company and audit the books of accounts of the Company for the financial year 2020-2021. The Company is engaged in spinning and weaving of cotton yarn. The Audit firm's Senior Partner, CA. Sriman Narayan, (fondly referred to as 'SN') has allocated the client to you to conduct the audit. The senior partner is very close to the family which owns the Company and you strongly believe that this is atleast part of the reason why the Company decided to appoint your audit firm.

#### About SSCL

SCSL has evolved to be a significant player in cotton yarn spinning in Pune, commanding a premium market for their products and also exports its products to several countries. SCSL has two manufacturing plants at Pune housing around 1.5 lakh sq ft each. The spinning division in factory 'A' has an installed capacity of 2.25 lakh spindles, producing around 20 tonnes of cotton yarn per day. The weaving division at factory 'B' has an installation of 50 Suzler projectile machines. The export sales constituted around 30% of the total revenues as at 31st March, 2021. In Nov 2019, the Company was awarded a certificate of recognition as an export house by the Joint Director of Foreign Trade. One of the Company's division is also continuously engaged in leasing of properties - Mobile Towers as its principal or ancillary generating activities. Going forward, the company's strategy is to expand their existing capacity in spinning and weaving as well as enter in the textile chain including processing, garments and home textiles. Their vision is to scale new heights in the textile industry from fibre to finished products and to be at the forefront of the industry.

### Commencement of the Audit Process

The CFO of the Company made available to you the audited accounts of the last five years and the draft summary of management prepared financial statements for the FY 2020-2021 subject to audit of certain items that need clarifications and resolutions from the statutory auditors. Before the commencement of the audit, you reviewed the Company's audited accounts of the previous year. You noticed that the Auditors Report was qualified due to non-compliance with a mandatory accounting standard with respect to valuation of a high valued property that the Company owns. The audit opinion adds that the auditor is unable to quantify the impact of this non-compliance with the accounting standard. At this point, you made a telephonic conversation with the previous auditor informing them about your firm's appointment as auditor and also discussed the qualification in the previous audit report.

You were wondering whether the audit qualification issued was appropriate in the circumstances and you feel that a 'limitation of scope' opinion may have been more appropriate rather than "except for dis-agreement with accounting treatment due to non-compliance with an accounting standard".... You are considering the impact of this issue when CA.SN comes into your office. He provides you certain important inputs of SCSL and then asks whether you have any clarifications to be sought. At this juncture, you inform CA.SN what you have found. He immediately replies: "I don't see any issues. If the Company does not believe that it is worth paying for this information, then who are we to tell them otherwise. It is a family business after all. If we have to, then, we can also adopt the same approach as the previous auditors and qualify the audit report on the same grounds." CA.SN further stated that you should go ahead with the audit work and reassess the position once the audit fieldwork has been completed by your team.

CGT P.T.O.

## Precarious situation and the way forward

Now you are in a very precarious situation. You need to build a strategy. On the one hand, as an individual, you don't feel like to compromise anything in the audit process as well as your Independence and on the other hand, the relationship of CA.SN with the Company matters you a lot. Finally after a good thought, you have proposed to balance the situation with an appropriate presentation of a matter that needs proper reporting and /or by pointing out the legal position before hand with a view to avoid / rectify any lapse or shortcomings to the satisfaction of both the Company's management and CA.SN. You always believe in Professional Scepticism / Professional Judgement and is of the opinion that it is your legitimate right to advise your clients to comply with the rule of law, promote transparency in financial and business transactions, make your clients much more tax complaint vis-a-vis intelligent tax planning rather than tax dogging and tax evasion.

Accordingly, you made a detailed audit program and deputed your audit team to look meticulously into every material aspects and conduct the audit from the propriety angle. You also instructed that all observations made by the audit team would be reviewed by you with proper assessments to ensure that the financial statements reflects a true and fair view.

### Review of accounts and records

On a review of the books of accounts, draft financial statements / minutes of the Board meetings and other secretarial and regulatory records, the following observations were made by your audit team:

- 1. During the FY 2020-2021, the company had entered into certain related party transactions. A payment of ₹ 4 lakhs per month was made to a partnership firm which is a 'related party' within the meaning of the Companies Act, 2013 for marketing services rendered by them. Based on an independent assessment, the consideration paid was higher than the arms length by ₹ 0.50 lakhs per month. Whilst the transactions was accounted in the financial statements based on the amounts paid, no separate disclosure of this related party transaction has been made in the notes to accounts forming part of the financial statements highlighting the same as related party transaction. Further, regarding related party transactions, the Management of the Company has insisted the statutory auditors to rely on the management representation letter regarding the proper accounting, presentation and disclosure of such related party transactions.
- 2. The long term borrowings from a subsidiary Company has no written terms and neither the interest nor the principal has been repaid so far.
- 3. Certain computers and peripherals were received from the subsidiary company free of cost, the value of which is ₹ 0.75 lakhs and no accounting or disclosure of the same has been made in the notes to accounts.
- 4. The Company also sells its products to local dealers in Maharashtra. One of the conditions of sale is that interest will be charged at the rate of 2% p.m., for delayed payments. On scrutiny of the accounts, the audit team found that the percentage of interest recovery is only 10% on such overdue outstanding due to various reasons. During the year 2020-21, the Management has recognized the entire interest receivable as income in the Statement of Profit and Loss.

CGT P.T.O.

- One of their division also deals in Leasing of properties Mobile Towers.

  The accountant showed the rent arising from the leasing of such properties as 'other income' in the Statement of Profit and Loss.
- 6. During the course of the audit, you were provided with various oral representations during meetings and discussions. Whereas, while finalizing the audit, you requested the Management to provide all such oral representations into writing. The Management has informed you that since it has provided you full access to whatever records, documents and evidences were available with them without any exception, providing any representations in writing to external auditors is not possible. They have requested you to co-relate the same with the oral representations.
- 7. You as the engagement partner has requested the Management to provide email addresses of trade receivables of the company for the purpose of obtaining balance confirmation from the trade receivables. The Management asked its Sales Manager to send confirmation requests to the trade receivables and collect all the responses and provide the same to the Auditors. The Management informed that confirmation with respect to two of its trade receivables namely X Limited and Y Limited will not be available as a dispute is going on with them. With respect to other trade receivables, the Sales Manager provided you all the balance confirmations.
- 8. While verifying the inventories as on 31<sup>st</sup> March, 2021, you observed that significant amount of inventories belonging to the Company are held by third parties. However, the Company has kept all the records of the inventories maintained by the third parties.
- 9. SCSL purchased new computers for ₹ 10 lakhs on October 5, 2020, installed the same in its office and put the said computers to use on the same date.
- 10. The Company has incurred an expenditure of ₹ 10 lakhs on advertisement in a souvenir of a registered political party.

**CGT** 

1 1. While preparing the Ind AS financial statements for the previous year ended 31.03.2020, SCSL has observed that it had presented certain material liabilities as non-current in its financial statements for the year ended 31.03.2019. While preparing annual financial statements for the year ended 31.03.2021, management discovers that these liabilities should have been classified as current. The Management intends to restate the comparative amounts for the prior period presented (i.e as at 31.03.2020).

## 12. Other Issues of Management for your professional advice

SCSL is considering a new sales strategy for one of its small subsidiary company that will be valid for the next 4 years. They want to know the value of new strategy. Following information relating to the year which has just ended is available

### **Income Statement**

## Amount (in ₹'000)

Sales	20,000
Gross Margin (20%)	4,000
Administration, Selling and Distribution Expenses (10%)	2,000
PBT	2,000
Tax (30%)	600
PAT	1,400
Balance Sheet Information	14 9 571
Fixed Assets	8,000
Current Assets	4,000
Equity .	12,000

If it adopts the new strategy, sales will grow at the rate of 20% per year for three years. The gross margin ratio, assets turnover ratio, the capital structure and the income tax rate will remain unchanged. Depreciation would be 10 % of net fixed assets at the beginning of the year. The company's target rate of return is 15 %.

CGT

At this juncture, before proceeding further, Mr. SN has desired to have an interim meeting with you to get an hands on summary of the audit observations and also with proper solutions to address them. So get ready to to comprehend, analyse and apply to arrive at a correct solution to the issues given here under:

You are requested to provide the correct option to the following questions. Please indicate your option in capital letters. No reasoning is required. Note that the financial statements of the Company for the year under review are prepared using IND AS and your answers on Direct Tax Laws should relate to Assessment Year 2021-'22.

- 1.1 In respect of the inputs given in para (1) above, is there any further responsibility of the statutory auditor with regard to the other formalities to be performed for related party transactions (RTP)?
- 2×5 =10
- (A) There is no further responsibility as the best audit evidence for the RTP is the Management representation letter.
- (B) There is no further responsibility as the statutory auditor is responsible for verifying the balances and disclosure of RTPs. The identification of RTPs is the responsibility of the Management.
- (C) Yes, the statutory auditor has the responsibility to perform the audit procedures to identity, assess and respond to the material misstatements arising from the entity's failure to account for/disclose related party relationships, transactions and balances.
- (D) Yes, the statutory auditor has the ultimate responsibility to detect fraud and error with respect to RTP's.

- 1.2 With respect to the inputs given in para (7) above, which of the following is warranted as per the requirement of the relevant SA?
  - (A) As the Statutory Auditor, you should not have relied on the explanation provided by the Management with respect to the trade receivables namely X Limited and Y Limited and you should have performed alternative procedures with respect to such trade receivables.
  - (B) As the Statutory Auditor, based on the risk assessment and materiality, you should have obtained direct responses at least from some significant, if not all, trade receivables instead of the sales manager receiving direct responses and forwarding the same to you.
  - (C) Both (A) and (B)
  - (D) You should give a qualified opinion as balance confirmations with respect to two trade receivables were not available.
- 1.3. With respect to the inputs given in para (9) above, the allowable depreciation under the Income Tax Act would be:
  - (A) ₹ 1.5 Lakhs
  - (B) ₹2 Lakhs
  - (C) ₹3 Lakhs
  - (D) ₹4 Lakhs
- 1.4 With respect to the inputs given in para (10) above, which of the following statement is correct as per the Income Tax Act?
  - (A) Such expenditure is an allowable deduction while computing its business income.
  - (B) Such expenditure is not an allowable deduction while computing its business income.
  - (C) Such expenditure is not an allowable deduction while computing its business income but is allowable as a deduction from gross total income.
  - (D) Such expenditure is neither allowable as a deduction from business income nor allowable as a deduction from gross total income.

CGT

- 1.5 With respect to the inputs given in para (11) above, which of the following statement is valid as per the Companies Act, 2013?
  - (A) Change in Estimate Restatement of previous year comparative is not required.
  - (B) Change in Estimate Restatement of previous year comparative is required.
  - (C) Prior period error Restatement of previous year comparative is not required.
  - (D) Prior period error Restatement of previous year comparative is required.
- 1.6 With reference to the case study, comment on whether communication made with the previous auditor is in line with relevant clause of Schedule to the Chartered Accountants Act, 1949 and Code of Ethics.
- 1.7 Comment whether the classification referred in para (5) above is correct or not in the light of Schedule III to the Companies Act, 2013.
- 1.8 In respect of the inputs given in para (12) above, determine the incremental value due to adoption of the new strategy in line with the strategic financial management principles adopted by the company.

### CASE STUDY - 2

You are a young dynamic Management Consultant of 30 years of age, having graduated from a top notch business school in India and later on obtained a Doctorate degree in Finance from USA. At the age of 27 years, you started a consultancy firm in Bengaluru, India for providing scratch to end business advisory solutions to start-up companies, especially on innovative ways to finance a start-up, direct taxes, financial reporting, legal advisory under FEMA, 1999 and Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. Your clients are spread across the country and you have a sizeable team of professionals working under your entire advisory practice.

Your client, Soft Tech Automobile Solutions Private Limited (STAS) is one of the India's start-up companies incorporated at Delhi in the year 2017. The main objective of the Company is to develop a niche, never invented before, customized software packages for two and three wheeler automobile manufacturers in India and abroad. The Company is the first ever start-up company wholly owned, managed by women technocrats with only women employees. It was promoted by Ms. Sunandha and Ms. Pracheeti, IITians from Delhi.

Ever since the promoters started their venture, they have, within a span of one year, garnered about 15 reputed automobile companies in India and twelve foreign companies in USA as their customers with long term contracts and significant business in terms of volume and money value. The promoters have also formed a wholly owned subsidiary at Singapore which has a liaison office in Mumbai and currently has very limited operations in India. The Indian liaison office, at present, employs about 30 people primarily to represent the foreign subsidiary in dealing with the customers in India.

Because of their innovative and user friendly software package, that provides high value addition, and going by the success they reaped within a year, it is for sure, that, they would flourish and branch out in their business within the next five years of their establishment. In other words, the Company is also looking at rapid expansion over the next three years. Nevertheless, what disturbs them, is the infusion of initial funds and continued working capital in the start-up to meet their commitments.

STAS is well recognized for its governance standards and is very keen to implement its zero tolerance for non-complainces policy. Under the circumstances, they have reached out to you seeking your advice on strategic financial management vis-a-vis innovative ways to finance a start up, matters on financial reporting and direct taxation. Besides the above, they also wanted you to advise them on the regulatory provisions of FEMA, 1999, IBC Code, 2016 that may impact their business. Accordingly, they have requested you to join their internal brainstorming session organized to discuss and decide on the way forward. This meeting will be attended by Ms. Sunandha, Managing Director, Ms. Pracheeti, Joint Managing Director, Ms. Anjana, Chief Financial Officer, Ms. Samanvitha, Manager, Taxation and Ms. Jaishvitha, Company Secretary. Prior to the meeting, the promoters have informed you and given the following inputs:

CGT

- (a) A few export invoices raised by the Company towards supply of goods or services were remaining outstanding from the foreign party (in excess of the stipulated thresholds for suo moto write off) and despite the Company's best efforts, the amounts have become doubtful of recovery.
- (b) During the financial year 2020-21, the Company had changed its method of accounting compared to the previous financial year (2019-20) and had reported a closing stock of computer peripherals amounting to ₹ 2 lakhs only as on 31.03.2021. Also, the Company had borrowed a sum of ₹ 10 crores equally from two public sector banks and two NBFCs. The Company had promptly repaid few deposits amounting to ₹ 80 lakhs to the deposit holders.
- (c) The Company acquired 5 state of the art, hitech computers, peripherals and servers (herein after referred to as 'Plant') at a cost of ₹ 2 Crores (with no break down of the component parts). The estimated useful life is 10 years. At the end of the 2nd year, one of the major component (Server) has become obsolete and requires replacement as further maintenance is uneconomical. The balance of the plant is perfect and expected to last for next 10 years. The cost of the replacement of new component is ₹ 60,00,000. The discount rate assumed is 5%
- (d) The statutory Auditors of the Company, M/s. DEF & Associates, where CA. Mr. F, who is one of the partners of the audit firm who does not sign the audited financials of the Company had borrowed a sum of ₹ 4 lakhs from the subsidiary company for a short term repayable within 2 months. He had also purchased accounting software worth ₹ 1.10 lakhs from the said company. Both the sum borrowed and the cost of the accounting software are not yet paid by Mr. F.
- (e) Ms. Suman, sister of Ms. Sunandha, (the Managing Director of the Company) is a resident of Singapore and she owns an immovable property in Varanasi, which she inherited from her father, who was a resident in India. Currently, Ms. Sunandha uses the property.

- (f) Ms. Pracheeti, the Joint Managing Director wants to know the legal remedies available in India for recovering amounts rightfully due to the Company in case of a wilful default by the customer. In this regard, she is curious to understand the legal provisions of Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 which would come handy for enforcing timely actions by the defaulting customers, where required. She also wanted to understand how the settlement of the dues would be prioritized as compared to various secured creditors of the defaulting company at the time of insolvency.
- (g) The income tax assessment of the Company was completed under Section 143(3) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 with an addition of income of ₹ 24 lakhs to the returned income. The Company had preferred an appeal before the Commissioner of Appeals which is pending disposal.

### Asks from You

You are requested to advise STAS based on your understanding of their requirements, issues and clarifications sought. You can make relevant assumptions, if any, as may be required to explain your views so as to provide a holistic and relevant feedback. Your timely advices may go a long way to the dynamic women entrepreneurs in scaling new heights in their business operations. Good Luck.....!

# Provide the correct options to the following questions:

2×5 =10

- 2.1 Under the provisions of the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1991, amounts receivable referred in para (a) above:
  - (A) Will remain in the books for ever and nothing needs to be done.
  - (B) To be continued to be treated as good till such time the approval from the RBI/ Authorized Dealer is obtained for write-off
  - (C) Can be written off in the accounts and claimed as an allowable expense for taxation purposes and the procedural aspects of approvals from the RBI /AD may be obtained later.
  - (D) To be Provided for in the accounts towards doubtful receivables, disallowed for income tax computation purposes and the write-off to be effected in compliance with the FEMA/RBI directions and income tax requirements.

**CGT** 

- 2.2. In the light of the information provided in para (b) above, state which among the below transactions which were undertaken by the Company needs to be reported by the Statutory Auditors under fiscal laws?
  - (i) ₹ 10 crore loan taken, which is exceeding the limit specified under Section 269 SS of the Income tax Act, 1961.
  - (ii) Changed its method of accounting from the previous financial year.
  - (iii) Repayment of deposits of ₹ 80 lakhs which is exceeding the limit specified under Section 269 T of the Income Tax Act, 1961.
  - (iv) Reporting of closing stock of computer peripherals worth ₹ 2 Lakhs only.

## **OPTIONS**

- (A) (i), (iii) and (iv)
- (B) (ii) & (iii)
- (C) (i) & (iii)
- (D) (i), (ii), (iii) & (iv)
- 2.3. With respect to the acts carried out by CA. Mr. F, what can you infer about the appointment of M/s. DEF & Associates as Statutory Auditors of the Company?
  - (A) It is valid since the indebtedness is not with STAS.
  - (B) It is valid since CA. Mr. F is not signing the financials of STAS.
  - (C) It is valid since the indebtedness is within the prescribed limits.
  - (D) It is not valid since the indebtedness exceeds prescribed limit of ₹ 1 lakh.

- 2.4. Can Ms. Suman continue to hold the property?
  - (A) No, she cannot hold, transfer or invest in India, since she is resident outside India.
  - (B) Yes, she can continue to hold in India, since she is a person of Indian origin and the property is located in India.
  - (C) Yes, she can continue to hold in India, since this was inheritied from a person who was resident in India.
  - (D) Yes, she can continue to hold in India, since her sister uses the property whenever she travels to Varanasi.
- 2.5 Under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016, with reference to information in para (f), the foreign subsidiary can initiate action against the defaulting companies in India for non-payment of its enforceable dues:
  - (A) For any amount in excess of US \$ 100 in its capacity as financial creditor.
  - (B) For any amounts in excess of ₹ 10 million with the approval of NCLT.
  - (C) For any amount in excess of US \$ 100 in its capacity as corporate debtor.
  - (D) For any amounts in excess of ₹ 10 million without the approval of NCLT.
- 2.6. Being the referred Management Consultant, what are some of the ways you would suggest STAS management to finance their start-up?
- 2.7. In respect of the information provided in para (c) above, examine whether the cost of new component (server) be recognized as an asset and if so, what should be the carrying value of the plant at the end of the second year?
- 2.8. In respect of the information provided in para (g) above, please answer the following questions:
  - (i) Can the Commissioner make a revision under Section 263 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 both in respect of matters covered in appeal and other matters?
  - (ii) Can STAS seek revision under Section 264 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 in respect of the matters other than those preferred in appeal?

**CGT** 

P.T.O.

5

### CASE STUDY - 3

## Your Position in the Professional Arena

You are an open minded, sensible, competent and innovative Management Consultant providing solutions in financial reporting, budgetary control, cost analysis, capital budgeting and other areas of costing and management reporting. You are also advising corporates on the implications of mergers and amalgamations covering various aspects inter-alia on the legal, business and corporate tax related matters.

You are specifically approached by various corporates to implement governance and ethics into the very fabric of the client's organization, revising and re-framing the compliance framework, policies, processes in line with the corporate & allied laws and other local regulations.

### **Professional Work**

During the month of January 2021, six different Companies approached you seeking your advice with respect to their proposals as outlined below:

# **Proposals**

# (1) KG LIMITED (KGL)

KGL is a manufacturing company that produces a wide range of consumer products for home consumption. Among its popular brand are its energy efficient and environment friendly LED lamps. The Company has a quality control department that monitors the quality of production. As per the recent 'poor quality report', the current rejection rate of LED lamps is 5% of units input. 5,000 units input goes through the process each day. Each unit that is rejected costs ₹ 200 to the Company. The quality control department has proposed few changes to the inspection process that would enable early detection of defects. This would reduce the overall rejection rate from 5% to 3% of units input. The improved inspection costs would cost the company ₹ 15,000 per day.

**CGT** 

# (2) GANGOTRI LIMITED (GL)

GL is intending to acquire Madhruk Limited (ML) (by merger) (a company not within the definition of a "small company" under the Companies Act, 2013) and the following information are available in respect of both the companies:

(In ₹)

Particulars	Gangotri Limited	Madhruk Limited
Total Current Earnings	2,50,000	90,000
Number of outstanding shares	50,000	30,000
Market price per share	21	14

Besides the above, GL has lent an amount of ₹ 10 lakhs to ML as an intercorporate deposit (ICD) for meeting various working capital requirements in the year 2018 which is being rolled over every 6 months since ML is not in a position to repay the loan as per agreed tenure. It is also not servicing any interest and the amount of interest payable as per the terms is not recognized in the books of ML in view of uncertainties attached to revenue recognition.

The CFO of GL believes that this proposal of merger is completely workable and in fact the same needs to be mandatorily pursued through the fast track mechanism available under the regulatory framework. He further added that the ICD which remains as outstanding from ML will also be eliminated on merger and hence the issue of repayment also does not arise.

# (3) EL GEE INDUSTRIES LIMITED (EGIL)

EGIL manufactures standard heavy duty steel storage racks for industrial use. Each storage rack is sold for ₹ 750 each. The Company produces 10,000 racks per annum. Relevant cost data per annum are as follows:

Cost Component	Budget	Actual	Actual Cost p.a. (₹)
Direct Material	5,00,000 sq. ft.	5,20,000 sq. ft.	20,00,000
Direct Labour	90,000 hrs.	1,00,000 hrs.	10,00,000
Machine Setup	15,000 hrs.	15,000 hrs.	1,50,000
Mechanical Assembly	2,00,000 hrs.	2,00,000 hrs.	30,00,000

The actual and budgeted operating levels are the same. Actual and standard rates of material procurement and hourly labour rate are also the same. Any variance in cost is solely on account of difference in the material usage and hours required to complete production. Aggressive pricing from competitors has driven down sales. A comparable rack is available in the market for ₹ 675 each. Shankar, the marketing manager has determined that in order to maintain the company's existing market share of 10,000 racks, EGIL must reduce the price of each rack to ₹ 675.

# (4) JM BAKES & CONFECTIONERS LTD (JMBCL)

JMBCL started a Bakery and Confectionery store. The MD of JMBCL Mr. X contacted Mr. Y, representing lyer & Co., Confectioners & Bakers (ICCB) for supply of cakes and biscuits. The communication between the parties were over email. On e-mail, there was a term of service between the parties containing that "any disputes regarding quality or delivery shall be submitted to arbitration conducted under the guidance of Indian Confectionery Manufacturers Association. Please place your order if the above terms and conditions are agreeable to you." X placed an order.

# (5) SHANTHI BIO TECH LIMITED (SBTL)

SBTL had a paid up equity share capital of ₹ 20 crores divided into 20,00,000 equity shares of ₹ 10 each. The Company had 2,000 equity shareholders. A petition was submitted before the Tribunal signed by 320 members holding 40,000 equity shares of the Company for the purpose of claiming relief against oppression and mismanagement by the majority of the shareholders. Subsequently, 160 members, who had signed the petition withdrew their consent.

# (6) SUPER SPINNING LTD (SSL)

While computing the statement of total income prepared in accordance with ICDS for the A.Y.2019-20, the following information was noted:

The amount of employee benefits include a sum of ₹ 4,50,000 in respect of bonus payable to employees. In the previous year 2018-2019, the Company and its employees union had a dispute over payment of bonus. In order to avoid late payment of bonus, the Company formed a trust and transferred the amount of bonus payable to employees to the said trust. The dispute was settled in the month of November, 2019 and the trust paid the amount of bonus to the employees on 30th December, 2020.

You are requested to provide the correct option to the following questions. Please indicate your option in capital letters. No reasoning is required.

 $2\times5$ = 10

- 3.1 Whether the proposal of Gangotri Limited for the merger of Madhruk Limited needs to be mandatorily pursued under "fast track mode"?
  - (A) Yes
  - (B) No
  - (C) Yes, as it involves capital reduction
  - (D) Would vary on a case to case basis depending on the contents of the scheme.

CGT

- 3.2 On giving effect to the scheme of merger, the ICD provided by Gangotri Limited(GL) to Madhruk Limited (ML):
  - (A) Will remain in the books of ML.
  - (B) Will be squared off.
  - (C) Will remain as a memorandum entry.
  - (D) Will remain only in the books of GL.
- 3.3 State which statement is correct with respect to the arbitration agreement made between JMBCL and ICCB under the provisions of The Arbitration and Conciliation Act,
  - (A) It is not valid agreement, as the terms of service is not contained in same document of agreement
  - (B) It is not valid, as the agreement is not laid down in particular format / formally.
  - (C) It is not valid, as communication over email of the term of services is not proper.
  - (D) It is valid arbitration agreement in writing contained in correspondence between the parties over email.
- 3.4 In respect of SBTL, as per the given facts:
  - (A) The petition becomes automatically void
  - (B) The petition is nevertheless maintainable subject to the condition that approval of 80 members is obtained within a period of 30 days.
  - (C) The petition is nevertheless maintainable subject to the condition that approval of at least 40 members is obtained within a period of 30 days.
  - (D) It will not affect the maintainability of the petition.

- 3.5 While computing the total income of Super Spinning Limited (SSL), for the A. ¥.2019-20, the amount of bonus payable:
  - (A) Would be allowed as a deduction
  - (B) Would not be allowed as a deduction
  - (C) Would be partially allowed as a deduction
  - (D) No treatment is required.
- 3.6 With reference to the inputs given for KG Limited above, analyze the proposal and suggest if it would be beneficial for the Company to implement it.
- 3.7 With reference to the inputs given for Gangotri Limited as above :
  - (i) What is the present EPS of both the companies?
  - (ii) If the proposed merger takes place, what would be the new earnings per share for Gangotri Ltd. (assuming the merger takes place by exchange of Equity Shares and the Exchange Ratio is based on the Current Market Price)? Assume no synergy impact.
- 3.8 With reference to the inputs given for El Gee Industries Limited,
  - (i) Calculate the current cost and profit per unit and identify the non-value added activities in the production process.
  - (ii) Calculate the new target cost per unit for a sales price of ₹ 675 if the profit per unit is maintained.

# CASE STUDY - 4

You are advising companies in mergers and acquisitions. One of your clients, T Limited reached out to you with their interest in acquiring companies for their inorganic growth. They have provided you with an analysis of their initial target list along with some business insights obtained through various channels. You are required to consider the details below and answer the questions.

### **PB** Private Limited

The promoters of this company are Z & Y who hold about 90% in the company. The remaining 10% is held by a group of minority shareholders of which 9% is held by J in his individual capacity. Whilst the promoters of the company are willing to offload their shares completely at a fair price, J, being a significant minority shareholder, may be creating problems and he may also demand higher price to capitalise on the opportunity.

J is also in the board of the Company and has issues with the Board Chairman on various matters. He has also written to the Registrar of Companies (RoC) that the matters discussed by him in the board meetings are filtered by the Chairman and the minutes do not reflect the facts and the key discussion matters appropriately. Z is the Chairman of the Board and he believes that his decision is final with respect to board minutes and even the RoC has no role to play.

Further, the promoters wants to know about the powers to acquire shares of Shareholders dissenting from scheme or contract approved by the majority or how they can directly purchase Minority Shareholding, under Companies Act, 2013.

## **IP** Limited

IP Limited is the market leader in introducing innovation in the paper industry. The advanced 30 printing capable new generation machineries are imported by the Company which has helped the company in cutting its cost considerably and improving its profitability. Post introduction of Goods and Service Tax (GST), the Company has resorted to certain practices which were challenged by the Department. Several GST refunds received by the Company from the Goods and Service Tax department were alleged to be failing the principle of unjust enrichment under the Act. The exposure arising out of the same needs careful evaluation.

CGT

Further, the company is also having several issues with respect to supply and reversals/returns and the process of invoicing/raising of credit and debit notes requires complete overhaul. It has paid GST on several supplies without considering the subsequent reversals resulting in over payment of taxes since it was not clear on the provisions, clarity was also sought on allowable refunds. Further, the time limits for claiming refunds of excess tax paid/unutilised Input credit also requires evaluation since this could have a significant impact on the net worth of the company.

## DP (Regd.)

DP (Regd.) is a partnership firm where G and K are the current partners. Earlier M was also a partner, who retired on 31.03.2019. The firm primarily focuses on exports and has got good recoveries over the past 2 years.

Majority of the exports of the firm were routed through a third party under the deemed exports category which was challenged by the GST authorities recently. The firm has also been slapped with a tax notice on their supplies made in financial year 2018-19 which if confirmed would virtually result in wiping out all the net worth and the partners may have to pay from their personal assets in view of their joint and several liability.

G and K believe that if there is a requirement to pay the tax, then M would also be required to pay the same as per the applicable provisions, though M challenges this position. In view of the tax uncertainty, the firm is willing to consider a total buy out by any large company.

#### Part - A

- 4.1. Presume that T Limited proposes to pursue amalgamation of PB Pvt. Ltd. and pursuant to the same, agrees to pay higher price (higher than the price decided under the scheme) to J based on his negotiation, the extra amount/compensation received by J shall be:
  - (A) Fully payable to him in his individual capacity.
  - (B) Full payable to the remaining minority shareholders.
  - (C) Allocated to all minority shareholders on pro rata basis.
  - (D) Allocated to all majority shareholders on pro rata basis.

4-2.	Z has an absolute discretion to exclude the matters raised by J in the board minutes, if it:
. 7"	(A) is relevant or material to the proceedings.
*	(B) is detrimental to the interests of the Chairman.
	(C) is or could reasonably be regarded as defamatory of any person.
	(D) is a dissent in a majority decision.
4.3.	The GST liability of I P Ltd. as a supplier will reduce when the:
	(A) Credit note is issued by the supplier.
	(B) Credit note is issued by the customer.
	(C) Debit note is issued by the supplier.
	(D) Debit note is issued by the customer.
4.4.	M is liable to pay GST, interest and penalty of the firm due:
2 2	(A) Till the date of the retirement notwithstanding any intimation to Commissioner.
	(B) Till the date of the intimation provided to the commissioner.
	(C) Indefinitely notwithstanding the retirement/intimation.
	(D) Not at all liable
4.5.	The time limit for making an application for claiming refund of GST taxes paid by I P Ltd. is:
	(A) 3 years from the relevant date.
	(B) 2 years from the relevant date.
	(C) None since there is no time limit.
	(D) None since such refund can never be claimed.
	(b) Itolic since such retain can hever be claimed.

10

### Part - B

- 4.6. With the reference to the decision to acquire P B Private Limited by T Limited, discuss the powers to acquire shares of Shareholders dissenting from scheme or contract approved by Majority and also discuss various provision of purchase of minority shareholding, as required by Z & Y.
- 4.7. Under what circumstances I P limited is legally entitled to the GST refunds and can also retain such amounts without having the requirement to pass it on to anybody else? Also brief about what are allowable refunds.

### CASE STUDY - 5

S Limited is company having its registered and corporate office at New Delhi. It is specialised in manufacturing machinery products and is looking to expand its operations across the nation. 60% of the S Limited's shares are held by the Government of India and rest by other investors. The company is also in the process of negotiations with other companies to take over their business for strategic advantage.

Since the company has been in existence for more than 10 years, the board resolution was passed to make political contributions amounting to ₹ 10,00,000 for the year ending March 31<sup>st</sup>, 2021. However, the average net profit of company for immediately preceding 3 years is ₹ 8,00,000 only. The management of company is concerned regarding the maximum amount of political contributions to be made considering the relevant provisions of laws being in force.

Since the timeline to continue the audit for existing auditors has come to an end. So, at the meeting held of its Board of Directors, it was decided to unanimously appoint M/s ABC Chartered Accountants. This is the first time that S Limited would be applying Ind AS for the preparation of its financials for the current financial year 2020-2021. Ind AS mandates that an entity shall present three Balance Sheets as at: (a) the end of the current period; (b) the end of the preceding period; and (c) the beginning of the preceding period, in its first-time adoption of Ind AS. During this process, the company is also required to present the opening Ind AS Balance Sheet as at the date of transition. Accordingly, following is the Balance Sheet prepared as per earlier GAAP as at the beginning of the preceding period along with the additional information:

**CGT** 

# Balance Sheet as at 31st March, 2020

# (All figures are in '000, unless otherwise specified)

		Particulars	Amount (₹)
EQ	UIT		
(1)	Sha	reholder's Funds	dichalapa, d
tol.	(a)	Share Capital	10,00,000
	(b)	Reserves & Surplus	25,00,000
(2)	Non	n-Current Liabilities	K v n
	(a)	Long Term Borrowings	4,50,000
V	(b)	Long Term Provisions	3,50,000
l lje	(c)	Deferred Tax Liabilities	3,50,000
(3)	Cur	rent Liabilities	Tr + 2 71
ed	(a)	Trade Payables	22,00,000
	(b)	Other Current Liabilities.	4,50,000
	(c)	Short Term Provisions	12,00,000
		TOTAL	85,00,000
ASS	ETS		1. C. S. C. L. L. L. C.
1)	Non-Current Assets		
	(a)	Property, Plant & Equipment(net)	20,00,000
A U	(b)	Intangible Assets	2,00,000
T.	(c)	Goodwill	1,00,000
μE	(d)	Non-Current Investments	5,00,000
	(e)	Long Term Loans & Advances	1,50,000
	(f)	Other Non-Current Assets	2,00,000

(2)	Current Assets		*
	(a)	Current Investments	18,00,000
	(b)	Inventories	12,50,000
	(c)	Trade Receivables	9,00,000
	(d)	Cash and Bank Balances	10,00,000
	(e)	Other Current Assets	4,00,000
Į lin		TOTAL	85,00,000

### Additional Information:

- Other current liabilities include ₹ 3,90,000 liabilities to be paid in cash such as expense payable, salary payable etc. and ₹ 60,000 are statutory government dues.
- Long term loans and advances include ₹ 40,000 loan and the remaining amount consists Advance to staff of ₹ 1,10,000.
- Other non-current assets of ₹ 2,00,000 consists Capital advances to suppliers.
- Other current assets include ₹ 3,50,000 current assets receivable in cash and Prepaid expenses of ₹ 50,000.
- Short term provisions include Dividend payable including DDT of ₹ 2,00,000. The dividend payable had been as a result of board meeting wherein the declaration of dividend for financial year 2018-2019 was made. However, it is subject to approval of shareholders in the annual general meeting.

Chief Financial Officer of S Limited has also presented the following information against corresponding relevant items in the Balance Sheet:

CGT

- Property, Plant & Equipment consists a class of assets as office buildings whose carrying amount is ₹ 10,00,000. However, the fair value of said office building as on the date of transition is estimated to be ₹ 5,00,000. Company wants to follow revaluation model as its accounting policy in respect of its property, plant and equipment for the first annual Ind AS financial statements.
- The fair value of Intangible Assets as on the date of transition is estimated to be ₹ 2,50,000. However, the management is reluctant to incorporate the fair value changes in books of account although auditor does not agree to the same.
- S Ltd. had acquired 80% shares in Excel Private Limited few years ago thereby acquiring the control in it at that time. S Ltd. recognised goodwill as per erstwhile accounting standards by accounting the excess of consideration paid over the net assets acquired at the date of acquisition. Fair value exercise was not done at the time of acquisition. Now auditors insist the company that fair value exercise must be done with retrospective effect as on the date of transition.
- Trade receivables include an amount of ₹ 20,000 as provision for doubtful debts measured in accordance with previous GAAP. Now as per latest estimates, the provision needs to be revised to ₹ 25,000.
- Six years ago, company had given a loan of ₹ 1,00,000 to an entity for the term of 10 years. Transaction costs were incurred separately for this loan. The loan carries an interest rate of 7% p.a. and it was carried at cost in its initial recognition. The principal amount is to be repaid in equal instalments over the period of ten years at the year end. Interest is also payable at each year end. The fair value of loan as on the date of transition is ₹ 50,000 as against the carrying amount of loan which at present amounts ₹ 40,000. However, Ind AS 109 mandates to charge the interest expense as per effective interest method after the adjustment of transaction costs. Management says it is tedious task in the given case to apply the effective interest rate changes with retrospective effect and hence is reluctant to apply the same retrospectively in its first-time adoption.

- In the long-term borrowings, ₹ 4,50,000 of component is due towards the State Government. Interest is payable on the government loan at 4% p.a., however the prevailing rate in the market at present is 8% p.a. The fair market value of loan stands at ₹ 4,20,000 as on the relevant date.
- Under Previous GAAP, the mutual funds were measured at cost or market value, whichever is lower. Under Ind AS, the Company has designated these investments at fair value through profit or loss. The value of mutual funds as per previous GAAP is ₹ 2,00,000 as included in 'current investments'. However, the fair value of mutual funds as on the date of transition is ₹ 2,30,000.
- Ignore separate calculation of deferred tax on above adjustments.

  Assume the net deferred tax income to be ₹ 50,000 on account of Ind

  AS transition adjustments.

During the briefing with internal audit head of S Limited, internal auditor has put an observation that a contractor, M/s DG Brothers Private Limited, has been providing the services to S Limited since the beginning of the year. M/s DG Brothers Private Limited does billing to S Limited's corporate office each month at ₹ 50,000 (exc. GST). From the invoice particulars, it is found that M/s DG Brothers Private Limited is situated at Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh and having PAN no. XXXXXXXXXXXX. The total invoice amount comes to ₹ 59,000 incorporating GST @ 18%. Meanwhile, company deducts Tax Deduction at Source (TDS) of M/s DG Brothers Private Limited each month amounting to ₹ 500 on the amount of ₹ 50,000 and not on ₹ 59,000. Accountant is worried that he should have been deducting TDS on ₹ 59,000 as its non-compliance would require the company to pay interest on late payment of TDS/ Short deduction.

There is another service provider, Amit Shukla who as a professional had assisted the company for Ind AS adjustments. Amit Shukla billed ₹ 10,00,000 to the company on 16th January, 2021. Company booked the said invoice in its books with the date as mentioned in invoice and deducted the TDS accordingly. However, company has deposited the due TDS amount on 30th April, 2021.

CGT

### Part - A

# (Multiple Choice Questions)

- 5.1. Appointment of S Limited's statutory auditors at annual general meeting is not valid since:
  - (A) Prior approval of Central Government has not been taken.
  - (B) Prior approval of Comptroller and Auditor General of India has not been taken.
  - (C) Appointment should be valid for 1 year only.
  - (D) Comptroller and Auditor General of India can only appoint the auditors.
- 5.2. Calculate the amount of political contribution S Limited can make to political party for the year ending 31st March, 2020.
  - (A) ₹ 10,00,000
  - (B) ₹8,00,000
  - (C) Nil
  - (D) No Limit
- 5.3. Calculate the amount of TDS to be deducted by S Limited against the monthly invoice of M/s DG Brothers Private Limited.
  - (A) ₹ 1,180
  - (B) ₹1,000
  - (C) ₹885
  - (D) ₹ 750

~	~		
CO		Ι, .	

### Marks

- 5.4. Calculate the interest on late payment of TDS, S Limited is required to pay and deposit to the account of Central Government in the case of Amit Shukla.

- (A) ₹ 5,000
- (B) ₹7,500
- (C) ₹ 4,500
- (D) ₹4,000
- 5.5. The place of supply and tax leviable in case of services provided by M/s DG Brothers Private Limited to S Limited is-
  - (A) Delhi, CGST & SGST
  - (B) Delhi, IGST
  - (C) Uttar Pradesh, CGST & SGST
  - (D) Uttar Pradesh, IGST

#### Part - B

## (Descriptive Questions)

- 3 5.6. Discuss in brief about the provisions/ requirements of the relevant Ind AS, which is required for preparation of S Limited's opening Balance Sheet as on the date of transition.
- 5.7. Prepare transition date Balance Sheet of S Limited as per Indian Accounting Standards, according to the format prescribed in Division II - Ind AS Schedule III to the Companies Act, 2013.
- 5.8. Show necessary explanation for each of the items presented by chief 8 financial officer in the form of notes, which may or may not require the adjustment as on the date of transition.